UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,133	06/13/2006	Franz Koop	SSB 202	2425	
Horst M Kasper	7590 04/03/200 •	9	EXAMINER		
13 Forest Drive Warren, NJ 07059			ROSENBAU	NBAUM, MARK	
warren, NJ 070	139		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3725		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/567,133	3	KOOP ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mark Roser	nbaum	3725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	EDATE OF THI R 1.136(a). In no even iod will apply and will atute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	9 February 2009	a					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 February 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	ion.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election red	quirement.					
	ion Papers		•					
	•	inor						
-	The specification is objected to by the Exami		Tabiaatad ta by tha [Evaminar				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
					YED 4 404/d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen			4) 🗖 Intonious Summers	(PTO 412)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔲 Infori	r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1,2,4,5,7,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Samosky et al. Figure 3 shows a mixing/comminuting shaft having a steel core and a plastic type coating, the mixing elements of the shaft being integral with the coating.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 2,3,6,8-12,14,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Samosky et al. The limitations of these claims would have been obvious design choices only once the basic shaft was known. For example, the us of PTFE as the coating material, if not inherent in the patent, would have been obvious since this type of material is well known as a coating for steel for the inherent advantages. Also, the exact fastening means for the shaft in relation to its drive means would have been a design choice only once its use was known.

Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over APA in view of Samosky et al. APA is the process discussed in the third paragraph of page 4 of applicant's specification. APA is the known process of the isotatic pressure and temperature placement of Teflon coating onto steel surfaces. APA does not discuss the integral attachment and coating of mixing elements on a steel shaft.

Samosky et al shows such a process. In order to strengthen a mixing shaft, it would

have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify APA by integrating the mixing elements with the coating and shaft, taught to be desirable by Samosky et al. The remaining limitations would then have been obvious process choices by one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,133 Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dana Ross can be reached on 571-272-4480. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

/Mark Rosenbaum/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3725